

Week-05-L-03

Agricultural Statistics in Practice

Stability & Sustainability Analysis

Models Assessing Stability – Perkins and Jinks Model

Dr. Amandeep Singh

Imagineering Laboratory
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur



ideas to products
IMAGINEERING
LAB | IIT KANPUR



MedTech
IIT KANPUR





Perkins and Jinks Model

- Perkins and Jinks (1968) in an attempt to improve the stability model of Eberhart and Russell, opined that genotype-environment interaction is more important from stability point of view.
- As such they proposed to regress genotype-environmental interaction on environmental indices, rather than the mean performances of genotypes over the environment (Y_{ij}). They proposed the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + a_i + e_j + g_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t \text{ and } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l$$

where Y_{ij} = Mean effect of i^{th} genotype in j^{th} situation

$\mu = \frac{1}{s \times t} \sum_{i,j} Y_{ij}$; Mean of all the genotypes over the situations

$a_i = \bar{Y}_i - \mu$; Additive effect due to i^{th} genotype

$e_j = \bar{Y}_j - \mu$; Additive effect due to j^{th} situation

$g_{ij} = Y_{ij} - \mu - a_i - e_j$; Interaction effect of i^{th} genotype in j^{th} situation

ε_{ij} ; Error associated with i^{th} genotype in j^{th} situation



Perkins and Jinks Model

Again, $g_{ij} = \beta_i e_j + \delta_{ij}$

Coef. of Reg. (pointing to β_i)
Constant (pointing to δ_{ij})

Where, β_i is the regression coefficient, and δ_{ij} is the deviation from regression

Thus, the Perkins-Jink model turns out to be:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ij} &= \mu + a_i + e_j + g_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ &= \mu + a_i + e_j + \beta_i e_j + \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ &= \mu + a_i + e_j(1 + \beta_i) + \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

So the basic structure of the model remains the same, even the deviation from regression.

But the regression coefficient b_i , in Eberhart – Russell model becomes $b_i = (1 + \beta_i)$



Solution

- Perkins-Jinks ANOVA
- Consider the following given stability table except the column of regression coefficient

<i>SOV</i>	<i>D.F.</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>
<i>Genotypes</i>	5 ✓	71.377	14.275
<i>Environment/join regression</i>	4 ✓	5.617	1.404
<i>Genotype x Environment</i>	20	23.835	1.192
<i>Heterogeneity between regression</i>	5	17.272	3.454
<i>Remainder</i>	15	6.564	0.438



Conclusion

Variety	$V_i - \bar{V}$	$b_i - 1 = \beta_i$	$\bar{S}_{d_i}^2$	Inference
V ₁	1.791	1.289	0.527	Low stability, good for rich environment
V ₂	2.164	2.857	0.460	Low stability, good for rich environment
V ₃	- 1.736	- 0.449	0.138	Low performance, less sensitive to environment
V ₄	- 0.122	- 0.894	0.323	Slightly low performance, less sensitive to environment
V ₅	- 0.289	- 0.041	0.291	Slightly low performance, comparatively stable, good for average environment
V ₆	- 1.809	- 2.761	0.028	Low performance, good for poor environment

Thus, the relative ranking of the genotypes remains the same as it is in case of Eberhart – Russell Model

Thank You



ideas to products
IMAGINEERING
LAB | IIT KANPUR



MedTech
IIT KANPUR

